Troy Greenfield, OSB #892534 Email: tgreenfield@schwabe.com Lawrence R. Ream (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) Email: lream@schwabe.com SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1211 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503-222-9981 Facsimile: 503-796-2900

Attorneys for Receiver for Defendants AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE, LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

# PORTLAND DIVISION

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE, LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC; ROBERT J. JESENIK; BRIAN A. OLIVER; and N. SCOTT GILLIS,

Defendants.

No. 3:16-Cv-00438-JR

RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

Page 1 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION



#### LOCAL RULE 7-1

Owing to the complexity and volume of the Receiver's Request for Approval of Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application, the Receiver has not sought to confer with the other parties or counsel as would normally be required under Local Rule 7-1. In accordance with the direction set forth in the Order Appointing Receiver, the Receiver provided the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") complete copies of the detailed invoices of all of the firms prior to the filing of this Application and received no objection from the Commission.

# I. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

The Court-Appointed Receiver in this action, Ronald F. Greenspan, respectfully requests the Court's approval to pay the interim fees and expenses of the Receiver and the professional service firms that have rendered services on behalf of the Receivership Entity for the period from April 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023 (the "Application Period").

The efforts of the Receiver and the professionals on behalf of the Receivership Entity are set forth in detail in the June 30, 2023 Report of the Receiver (the "June 2023 Report")[Dkt. 1029] as well as in the summary invoice of each professional, which are attached to the Declaration of Ronald F. Greenspan (the "Greenspan Declaration") filed contemporaneously with this motion.<sup>1</sup> As the Court is aware, this is a very complex and otherwise difficult case. The information contained in the June 2023 Report and in the summary invoices demonstrates both the necessity of the services provided, as well as the reasonableness of the resulting fees and expenses.

The Court has previously approved the engagement of each of the professional service firms. In accordance with the request of the Commission, all of the firms have discounted their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Greenspan Declaration, Exhibits B through C.

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 3 of 41

standard hourly rates. The fees and expenses submitted to the Court for approval are consistent with the Billing Instructions for Receivers in Civil Actions Commenced by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Billing Instructions"). In accordance with the direction set forth in the Order Appointing Receiver, the Receiver provided the Commission complete copies of the detailed invoices of all of the firms prior to the filing of this Application and the Commission does not object to the Application. Prior fees and expenses requested, allowed, and paid by the Receivership Entity are set forth in detail below.

#### II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

On March 10, 2016, the Commission filed a Complaint (the "Complaint") against the Entity Defendants as well as Robert J. Jesenik, Brian A. Oliver and N. Scott Gillis (collectively the "Individual Defendants"), for alleged violation of Federal Securities Laws in what the Commission describes as a "Ponzi-like" scheme [Dkt. 1]. The Commission alleges that the Individual Defendants, all principals of one or more of the Entity Defendants, defrauded investors and also misused investor funds to pay operating expenses and to repay earlier investors. The Commission further alleges that "[b]y the end of 2015 [Aequitas] owed investors \$312 million and had virtually no operating income to repay them."[Dkt. 1, ¶ 5] The investments identified by the Commission refer to the funds borrowed by ACF, one of the 48 entities composing the Receivership Entity. In total, there are over \$600 million of investor funds in the Receivership Entity.

On March 16, 2016, pursuant to the Stipulated Interim Order Appointing Receiver, Ronald F. Greenspan was appointed as Receiver for the Entity Defendants and 43 related entities on an interim basis (the "Interim Order"). On April 14, 2016, pursuant to the Order Appointing Receiver, Mr. Greenspan was appointed as Receiver for the Receivership Entity on a final basis

Page 3 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

(the "Final Receivership Order")[Dkt. 156]. In very general terms, the Receiver is directed to marshal and preserve assets of the Receivership Entity.

The Receiver filed a voluntary report and recommendations to the Court (the "Initial Report") for the first "stub quarter" ending June 30, 2016 [Dkt. 246], the first mandated quarterly report covering the period through September 30, 2016 [Dkt. 298] and subsequent reports covering the period through December 31, 2016 [Dkt. 365], through March 31, 2017 [Dkt. 444], through June 30, 2017 [Dkt. 491], through September 30, 2017 [Dkt. 559], through December 31, 2017 [Dkt. 587], through March 31, 2018 [Dkt. 610], through June 30, 2018 [Dkt. 644], through September 30, 2018 [Dkt. 662], through December 31, 2018 [Dkt. 674], through March 31, 2019 [Dkt 700], through June 30, 2019 [Dkt. 749], September 30, 2019 [Dkt. 776], through December 31, 2019 [Dkt. 793], through March 31, 2020 [Dkt. 826], through June 30, 2020 [Dkt. 843], through September 30, 2020 [Dkt. 860], through December 31, 2020 [Dkt. 872], March 31, 2021 [Dkt. 885], through June 30, 2021 [Dkt. 903], through September 30, 2021 [Dkt. 915], and through December 31, 2021 [Dkt. 922], through March 31, 2022 [Dkt. 979], through June 30, 2022 [Dkt.997], September 30, 2022, through December 31, 2022 [Dkt. 1019], and through March 31, 2023 [Dkt. 1024], which are collectively referred to herein as the "Receiver's Reports." The June 2023 Report [Dkt. 1029] is the Receiver's report and recommendations to the Court for the quarter ending June 30, 2023. The contents of the Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application, like the focus of the June 2023 Report, provides the basis for the fees and expenses incurred by the professionals on behalf of the Receivership for the quarter ending June 30, 2023.

#### Page 4 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

# A. <u>The Receiver successfully stabilized the Receivership Entity,</u> preserved value when possible, monetized Receivership assets, implemented the claims process, distributed Receivership assets, and prepared for wind down of the operations.

The Receiver has successfully stabilized the Receivership Entity, preserved value when possible, facilitated the monetization of a majority of the Receivership assets, obtained approval of the Distribution Plan and completed three Court-approved interim distributions of a majority of the Receivership assets. Following the Application Period, the Receiver filed another motion to approve an interim distribution, which the Court granted on September 19, 2023 [Dkt. 1038]. Through the quarter ended June 30, 2023, the Receiver has sold Receivership Entity gross assets and collected receivables totaling approximately \$325.4 million, plus an additional \$32 million of gross assets owned by CPFIT (an affiliate of the Receivership Entity but excluded from the Receivership itself), has collected proceeds from litigation and settlements totaling approximately \$21.4 million, and has negotiated claims reductions exceeding at least \$130 million as part of approved settlement agreements.

The Receiver has also entered into and substantially completed the implementation of a settlement with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") and fourteen state Attorneys General in connection with the Corinthian Colleges student loan portfolio held by Campus Student Funding, LLC, including modification or cancellation of each of the approximately 47,000 loans, and appropriate notification to each of the borrowers.

As previously discussed, on March 10, 2016, the SEC filed a complaint in this Court alleging that certain Aequitas executives and five entities had violated various federal securities laws. On June 6, 2016, the SEC and the Receiver, acting on behalf of the Aequitas Entity Defendants, filed a consent judgment with the Court, which resolved the claims set forth in the

## Page 5 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 6 of 41

SEC Complaint against the Entity Defendants only, without admitting or denying the numerous allegations. A final judgement in this case as to Aequitas Management, LLC; Aequitas Holdings, LLC; Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC; Aequitas Capital Management, Inc; and Aequitas Investment Management, LLC was entered by the Court on April 13, 2020 [Dkt. 822]. Summary information related to this judgment and final judgments on consent against the three top executives can be found at https://www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2020/lr24805.htm.

As mandated by the Final Receivership Order, the Receiver conducted his forensic investigation and the resulting forensic report (the "Forensic Report") was filed with the Court on November 21, 2018 [Dkt. 663].

On April 25, 2019, the Court entered the Order (1) Establishing Claims Bar Date, (2) Approving The Form And Manner Of Notice, And (3) Approving The Proof Of Claim Form, Procedures And Other Related Relief (the "Claims Procedures Order").

The Receiver then proceeded expeditiously to implement the claims process as mandated in the Claims Procedures Order. 343 claims were received and 4,830 initial Notices of Receiver's Initial Determination had been issued.<sup>2</sup> The Receiver has adjudicated the vast majority of the claims that were filed against the Receivership Estate and is actively pursuing resolution of a handful of remaining claims.

On December 23, 2019, with the goal of mitigating certain potential tax consequences and maximizing the future distributions on the Allowed Claims, the Receiver filed his motion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Certain claims agreed with the Notice of Receiver's Initial Determination, and a number of filed claims were duplicative. Therefore, the number of actual claims is less than the sum of the filed claims and NODs issued.

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 7 of 41

and the Court entered the Order to Authorize, Approve, and Take Continuing Jurisdiction Over a Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF"), and For Related Relief [Dkt. 781]. Subsequently, on December 31, 2019, the Receiver filed his Motion for Order (1) Approving Form And Manner Of Notice Regarding Approval Of Proposed Distribution Plan And Ponzi Determination, (2) Approving Procedures And Deadlines, (3) Setting A Hearing, And For Related Relief [Dkt. 785], which was approved by the Court on January 14, 2020 [Dkt. 790].

Also, on December 31, 2019, the Receiver filed his Motion to Approve the Receiver's Distribution Plan And Determination Of A Ponzi Scheme [Dkt. 787]. The Court held a telephonic hearing on this Motion on March 31, 2020 and, following no objections raised at the hearing or otherwise remaining unresolved, the Court entered Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, approving the Receiver's Distribution/Ponzi Determination Motion, as expressly modified by the Receiver [Dkt. 813].

In the first half of 2020, the Receivership staff and retained professionals expeditiously managed a Distribution Plan noticing campaign, based on the form and manner of notice approved by the Court, and also prepared to seek Court approval of the proposed first round of distributions. At least 4,796 notices were sent to the parties that were required to be noticed by the Court and relevant information was posted on the Receivership website.

On May 15, 2020, the Receiver's Motion to Approve Classification, Allowance of the Amount of Claims for Certain Claimants (Administrative Claims, Convenience Class Claims, and Former-Employment Claims), and Approving Distributions to those Claimants [Dkt. 835] and the related pleadings were filed with the Court. The Motion was unopposed and was approved by the Court on June 1, 2020 [Dkt. 838]. Following Court approval in June of 2020, the Receivership staff and retained professionals completed the first distribution in the amount of

Page 7 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

\$973,797.

On October 21, 2020, the Receiver's (Second) Motion to Approve Classification of Certain Claims (Administrative, Former-Employees, Convenience Class, Defrauded Investors, Creditors, Individual Defendants, and Pass-Through Investors), and Allow and Approve Distributions on Account of Certain Claims ("Second Distribution Motion") and related pleadings were filed with the Court. [Dkt. Nos. 848-850]. This Motion addressed 2,056 claims across seven different claim classes. The Second Distribution Motion was approved by the Court on November 10, 2020 [Dkt. 861]. The Second Distribution was substantially completed by the end of 2020, with approximately \$73.5 million going to Defrauded Investors.

In the first quarter of 2021, the Receivership staff and the retained professionals finished the implementation of the Second Distribution, including but not limited to extensive communication with the Investors and their representatives, addressing Investor inquiries, reissuing distributions on a case-by-case basis under special circumstances, and preparing for and implementing required tax reporting related to the Second Distribution.

During the second quarter of 2021, the Receivership staff and the retained professionals prepared for the next (third) interim distribution and resolution of the remaining claims. On July 16, 2021, distribution notices were mailed to 1,674 parties and relevant information, including answers to an exhaustive set of FAQs was posted on the Receivership website. On July 19, 2021, the Receiver filed a Third Motion to Approve Classification of Certain Claims (Administrative, Convenience Class, Creditors, and Defrauded Investors), Allow and Approve Distributions on Account of Certain Claims, and Approving Distributions to Claimants Who Elect Reclassification To Convenience Class Status (the "Third Distribution Motion"), by which the Receiver proposed to distribute approximately \$22 million to several classes of claimants. The

#### Page 8 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 9 of 41

Third Distribution Motion was approved by the Court on August 5, 2021. The third interim distribution was completed in October 2021.

From the outset of the Receivership and through this Application Period, the Receiver and his team have expended considerable time and effort to orchestrate successful resolution of investors' claims against the professional firms that served the various Aequitas entities such as Tonkon Torp, Integrity Bank & Trust, Deloitte & Touche, EisnerAmper, Sidley Austin, Duff & Phelps, and TD Ameritrade ("Professional Firm Defendants").

In particular, development of the Receivership Entity's consolidated database, preparation of the Forensic Report and facilitation of multiple large-scale, multi-day mediation sessions paved the way for the following:

- Payments from the Professional Firm Defendants to the class totaling \$234,613,000, as well as multiple additional seven- and eight-figure payments to other plaintiff groups presently subject to confidentiality agreements;
- Releases of contribution and other claims of the Professional Firm
   Defendants against the Receivership Entity, including the release
   of \$50 million contribution claim presented by Deloitte; and
- Contingent releases of contribution and other claims of the Professional Firm Defendants against the Individual Defendants, Advisory Board members and other former officers and directors. The original releases were contingent upon those parties releasing claims to the proceeds of the insurance policies maintained by entities comprising the Receivership Entity.

#### Page 9 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

The efforts of the Receiver to facilitate resolution of investor claims against the Professional Firm Defendants have greatly accelerated anticipated distributions to the investors, both direct distributions of the settlement proceeds and ultimately distributions from the Receivership estate and will meaningfully reduce the cost of administering the Receivership.

On October 22, 2020, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order (1) Approving Compromises of Claims, (2) Approving and Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements, (3) Entering Claims Bars, and (4) Removing a Receivership Entity and an Extended Entity ("Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 852 – 855] encompassing 36 settlements. Amongst other requested relief, the Receiver sought approval of the settlement agreement resolving all claims presented in the consolidated insurance coverage action other than those of the Receiver against Catlin. On December 11, 2020, Judge Hernandez issued an Order adopting Judge Russo's Findings and Recommendation and granted the Receiver's Motion to Approve Settlements [Dkt. 866] and entered the Amended Limited Judgment As To The Professional Firms And The Terrell Parties, including Permanent Injunctions [Dkt. 876].

On April 16, 2021, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order Approving Compromises of Claims and Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements ("Second Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 881-883] involving 47 additional settlements. On May 6, 2021, the Court entered an order granting the Receiver's Second Motion to Approve Settlements. [Dkt. 886].

On July 7, 2021, the Receiver filed another Motion for Order Approving Compromises of Claims and Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements ("Third

Page 10 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 889-891], that was granted by the Court on July 26, 2021 [Dkt. 898].

On October 27, 2021, the Receiver filed another Motion for Order Approving Compromises of Claims and Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements ("Fourth Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 912-914], seeking the Court's approval of 25 additional settlement agreements that collectively result in payments to the Receivership Estate totaling \$1,207,892.90. On November 23, 2021, the Court entered an order granting the Receiver's Fourth Motion to Approve Settlements [Dkt. 916].

On March 24, 2022, the Receiver filed another Motion for Order Approving Compromises of Claims and Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements ("Fifth Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleading [Dkt. Nos. 961-963], seeking the Court's approval of 16 additional settlement agreements that collectively result in payments to the Receivership Estate totaling \$1,111,798.00. On April 12, 2022, the Court entered an order granting the Receiver's Fifth Motion to Approve Settlements [Dkt. 974].

On May 26, 2022, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order (1) Approving Compromises of Claims, (2) Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements, and (3) Authorizing Disbursement of Funds Held in a Segregated Account ("Sixth Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 980 - 982], seeking the Court's approval of nine additional settlements, including a settlement with the Liquidating Trust of the Bankruptcy Estate of Tango Delta Financial, Inc., (fka American Student Financial Group, Inc. "ASFG"). TRD Consulting, LLC subsequently filed a limited objection to the subject motion. On June 23, 2022, the Receiver filed a reply and supporting

#### Page 11 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

declaration [Dkt. 988-989]. On August 22, 2022, Judge Jolie A. Russo granted the Receiver's Sixth Motion to Approve Settlements by entry of her Findings And Recommendation [Dkt. 998], which were simultaneously referred to Judge Marco A. Hernandez for review [Dkt. 999] and subsequently approved by Judge Hernandez on October 14, 2022 during this Application Period. This settlement resulted in payments to the Receivership Estate totaling \$128,510; release of counterparties' claims against the Receivership Estate in excess of \$29 million; and release of \$1,683,403.38 plus accrued interest from a segregated account.

On December 12, 2022, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order Approving Compromises of Claims and Authorizing Performance of Settlement Agreements ("Seventh Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 1010-1012], seeking the Court's approval of six additional settlements that collectively result in payments to the Receivership Estate totaling \$1,096,183.48 and release of counterparties' claims against the Receivership Estate totaling in excess of \$7,663,129.00. On December 28, 2022, the Court entered an order granting the Receiver's Seventh Motion to Approve Settlements [Dkt. 1017].

Following this Application Period, on August 31, 2023, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order Approving Compromises of Claims and Authorizing Performance of Settlements ("Eighth Motion to Approve Settlements") and related pleadings [Dkt. Nos. 1031-1033] seeking the Court's approval of two additional settlements. On September 19, 2023, the Court entered an order granting the Receiver's Eighth Motion to Approve Settlements [Dkt. 1037].

The settlements addressed in the Receiver's First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth,

#### Page 12 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 13 of 41

Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Motions to Approve Settlements and in one prior separate settlement motion resulted in over \$23.3 million in direct monetary benefits to the Receivership Estate. Additionally, these settlements eliminated at least \$130 million of claims against the Receivership Estate, materially increasing the recovery on the allowed claims of the Defrauded Investors and others.

The Receiver and his retained professionals continue to pursue recoveries on the very few remaining claims that the Receivership holds against non-settling net winners. The Receiver anticipates these remaining recovery efforts will be concluded shortly, although this timetable will necessarily depend upon the posture of the adverse parties and the Court's calendar.

During this Application Period, the Receiver and his remaining retained professionals continued to work on various aspects of the operational wind down. The Receiver's focus in this process has remained on ensuring that appropriate infrastructure is in place to efficiently support Receivership ongoing operations through the wind down, to preserve information that may be relevant to conclude the Receivership, and to plan for the Receivership wind down.

The office utilized by the Receivership staff was relocated at the end of the first quarter of 2021, with the footprint and rental cost significantly reduced as discussed further below. The Receiver has obtained flexible termination provisions in the Receivership's current office and is able to vacate the premises and terminate the lease when the space is no longer needed or the Receivership winds up.

During the Application Period, the Receivership continued to facilitate discovery, with additional parties accessing the database, which contains 17.5 million documents. The database was largely used by members of the Receiver's professional team in support of recovery efforts,

#### Page 13 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

as well as the U.S. Attorneys' Office and counsel for the criminal defendants.

Members of the Receiver's professional team continue to provide financial and tax administration, including preparing Receivership Entity tax returns.

Significant effort continued to be expended during the prior and this Application Period by Receivership staff and retained professionals on planning and implementation of the various Receivership wind down matters, including but not limited to the Motolease Financial LLC portfolio wind down, and extensive general organizational and tax wind down planning, including consultations with the SEC about the receivership wind down.

During this Application Period, the Receivership team began preparation for the next distribution.

# B. <u>The Receiver continues to manage the Receivership Entity and</u> recommends that the Receivership continue for the benefit of investors and creditors until the wind down is complete.

It remains the Receiver's recommendation that the Receivership be continued in the near term. While we are in the homestretch, several crucial steps remain before the Receiver can reasonably seek an order terminating the Receivership. The Receiver must (i) manage the Fourth Interim Distribution, and (ii) complete final preparations for closing the Receivership.

# C. <u>The following qualified professional service firms have been engaged</u> by the Receiver to aid in the discharge of his duties and responsibilities to the Receivership Entity.

On March 16, 2016, pursuant to the Interim Order, the Receiver engaged FTI Consulting, Inc. ("FTI"), as well as the law firms of Pepper Hamilton LLP ("Pepper"), Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt PC ("Schwabe") and Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP ("Pachulski") on an interim basis. On April 14, 2016, pursuant to the Final Receivership Order, the Receiver engaged FTI,

# Page 14 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

Pepper, Schwabe and Pachulski on behalf of the Receivership Entity.

On July 18, 2016, pursuant to the Order Granting Receiver's Application To Employ Counsel, the Receiver employed the Law Offices of Stanley H. Shure ("Shure"), Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP ("Akin"), Morrison & Foerster LLP ("MoFo") and Ater Wynne LLP ("Ater") *nunc pro tunc* to March 10, 2016.

On May 3, 2018, pursuant to the Order Granting Receiver's Application to Employ Counsel, the Receiver employed Snell & Wilmer LLP ("Snell & Wilmer") in order to retain attorney, Ivan B. Knauer, who relocated from Pepper Hamilton to Snell & Wilmer.

On June 10, 2019, pursuant to the Order Granting Receiver's Application to Employ Counsel, the Receiver employed Parsons, Farnell & Grein, LLP ("Parsons Farnell) as local insurance counsel for the Receiver.

## 1. FTI Consulting - Receiver, Financial Advisor and On-Site Management

The Receiver is employed as a Senior Managing Director of FTI, which bills and collects for the Receiver's time and expenses. To support the Receivership, the Receiver has retained FTI and has access to FTI professionals. FTI is serving as financial advisor to the Receiver and providing on-site and remote (given the restraints imposed by the Covid-19 pandemic and the reduced Receivership staffing) management supervision over the operations of the Receivership Entity. Additionally, FTI has been instrumental in preparing assets for market, running certain sale processes, data and information consolidation, investor account data verification, coordinating and overseeing the forensic accounting, implementation of the national Corinthian Colleges student note receivable settlement with the CFPB and fourteen state Attorneys General, planning and implementation of the claims process, distribution plan development and

#### Page 15 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

implementation, and the wind down of the estate.

#### 2. Pepper Hamilton - Securities and Exchange Commission Counsel

Pepper was retained by the Receiver in connection with SEC-related matters, including the SEC Complaint and discovery promulgated thereunder. Pepper has also acted as a point of contact for the Receiver with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") and certain other governmental agencies. Pepper has also assisted the Receiver with certain transactional work.

# 3. Snell & Wilmer - Securities and Exchange Commission Counsel

Following the move of attorney Ivan Knauer from Pepper to Snell & Wilmer around May 1, 2018, Snell & Wilmer represented the Receiver in connection with SEC-related matters, including the SEC Complaint and discovery promulgated thereunder. Snell & Wilmer also represented the Receiver regarding other regulatory inquiries and acted as a point of contact for the Receiver with the state Attorneys General, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") and certain other governmental agencies. Counsel was diligently ensuring there was no duplication in work performed by Pepper and Snell & Wilmer.

# 4. Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt – General Counsel

As general counsel to the Receiver, Schwabe provides general outside counsel advice, as well as the majority of transactional and litigation support for all matters other than the SEC action. As periodically requested by the Receiver and as required of local counsel, Schwabe assists in the SEC enforcement action. Schwabe also communicates with the Commission Staff regarding operations, asset sales and other issues related to the administration of the Receivership.

#### Page 16 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### 5. Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones – Bankruptcy Counsel

Pachulski has been retained to provide advice involving bankruptcy related matters, if needed. Pachulski also advised the Receiver at outset of the case on various receivership set up and administration matters and performed lien perfection analyses.

# 6. Stanley H. Shure – Insurance Counsel

The Law Offices of Stanley H. Shure was retained to provide counsel on all insurance coverage issues pertaining to the Receivership Entity, including but not limited to: (a) reviewing and analyzing the Receivership Entity's liability insurance policies with respect to the claims made against it, (b) providing counsel in the event of insurance coverage disputes with the Receivership Entity's insurers, (c) evaluating the "Bankers Bond" policy for scope of coverage and whether the Receivership Entity holds any claims under the policy, and (d) analyzing and providing counsel with respect to claims, if any, that the Receivership Entity may have against former directors and officers of the Receivership Entity.

#### 7. Morrison & Foerster – Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

Before the Commission filed suit, MoFo was counsel for three entities within the Receivership Entity: Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC, and Campus Student Funding, LLC on two matters. Specifically, MoFo represented those three entities with respect to (a) the Corinthian Colleges' bankruptcy case, and (b) an investigation initiated by the CFPB and certain state attorneys general relating to Corinthian-related student loans owned by Campus Student Funding (the "CFPB Matter"). The Receiver has retained MoFo with respect to ongoing representation in the CFPB Matter only and assistance with various consumer finance matters.

#### Page 17 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

# 8. Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld – American Student Financial Group, Inc.

Prior to entry of the Interim Order, Akin was counsel to certain entities within the Receivership Entity in two matters. First, Akin represented Aequitas Capital Management, Inc. in the matter of American Student Financial Group, Inc., et al. v. Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., Case No. 12-cv-02445-CAB-JMA (S.D. Cal.). Akin also represented Robert Jesenik and Andrew MacRitchie in that action but claims against those individuals were dismissed on summary judgment. Second, Akin has represented the following companies in the Receivership Entity, in the matter of American Student Financial Group, Inc. v. Campus Student Funding, LLC, et al., Case No. 37-2013-00028562-CU-IP-CTL (San Diego Superior Court): Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC; Aequitas Investment Management, LLC; Aequitas Income Protection Fund, LLC; Aequitas Income Opportunity Fund, LLC; and Aequitas Capital Management, Inc. Akin has also represented Campus Student Funding, LLC, f/k/a ASFG, LLC, Thomas Szabo, and Thomas Reiter in the same matter.

# 9. Parsons Farnell & Grein, LLP-Local Counsel for Insurance matters

Parsons Farnell is special co-counsel serving as local insurance recovery counsel for the Receiver in connection with claims implicating directors and officers' insurance coverage issued to one or more of the entities comprising the Receivership Entity and providing assistance to the Receiver regarding general insurance matters.

# 10. Ater Wynne – Conflicts Counsel regarding Wells Fargo Bank, NA

Ater was retained to represent the Receiver with respect to matters adverse to Wells Fargo Bank and other matters where the Receiver's retained counsel had a conflict of interest.

# Page 18 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

The Wells Fargo liability has been retired. Ater withdrew as counsel to the Receiver effective April 4, 2017 [Dkt. 396].

# D. <u>The Receiver and the professional firms have already returned</u> millions of dollars to the Receivership Entity.

Following the significant distributions made in 2020 and 2021 and collections resulting from a number of settlements, the Receiver had cash balances of approximately \$23.05 million as of June 30, 2023 for the entities included in the Receivership Entity. The Standardized Fund Accounting Report, which is included in the Receiver's June 2023 Report, provides a detailed statement of cash receipts and disbursements through June 30, 2023. The following are brief summaries of some of the ongoing asset monetization and sales efforts undertaken or impacted during the Twenty-Ninth Application Period.

#### 1. Campus Student Funding

On August 17, 2017, the Court approved Receiver entering into the nationwide settlement with Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) and thirteen state Attorneys General in connection with the Corinthian Colleges private student loan portfolio owned by the Receivership (the "Settlement") [Dkt. 495]. Subsequently, on June 12, 2018, the Court approved the Receiver's Motion for Approval of Proposed Settlement with Massachusetts Attorney General. [Dkt. 620]. The Massachusetts Settlement, implemented in the form of an Assurance of Discontinuance, became effective on June 21, 2018.

Following the very significant effort expended by the Receivership on the implementation of the Settlement at the end of 2017 and in the beginning of 2018, which resulted in processing relief in connection with approximately 47,000 loans, the Receiver

## Page 19 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 20 of 41

continued to implement the remaining obligations under the Settlement in 2018. Subsequently, the Receivership Staff and retained professionals continued to work with the regulators to address any questions that came up in the course of the operations of the portfolio.

Additionally, the Receivership continued to maintain a detailed informational website for the borrowers in connection with the Settlement. Most of the borrower inquiries are being addressed by the servicer but the Receivership also addressed 1,939 borrower inquiries directly (through June 30, 2023). In addition to addressing direct borrower inquiries, the Receivership staff and retained professionals monitor, review, and respond to borrower complaints that are submitted through the CFPB on-line consumer complaint portal or through other venues. All these activities are instrumental in mitigating the Settlement implementation risk to the Receivership, reducing the number of potential borrower complaints, and stabilizing the portfolio.

To handle potential borrower inquiries related to the 1099 tax forms, the Receivership has set up an outsourced call center that handled 2,539 calls, including 1,082 calls answered by agents, through June 30, 2023 (the rest of the callers chose to only listen to a detailed recorded message). The Receivership staff and retained professionals worked closely with the call center vendor to review call logs and monitor the performance to make sure that the borrowers receive appropriate information.

During the Application Period, the Receivership team continued to work closely with the loan servicer and help address borrower inquiries. The Receiver is continuing to monitor the portfolio performance and evaluate the next steps in connection with the monetization and the wind down of the remaining portfolio.

#### Page 20 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### 2. MotoLease Financial (MLF)

MLF holds subprime consumer leases for motorcycle and other recreational vehicles. Through June 30, 2023, the Receiver has collected approximately \$16.1 million on account of the leases owned at the beginning of the Receivership. The Receiver is in the process of winding down and de-boarding this portfolio. Addressing outstanding vehicle property taxes related to this portfolio was a significant effort during this Application Period. On June 21, 2022, the Receiver filed a Motion to Abandon All Right, Title, And Interest in Certain Tangible Personal Property Of Motolease Financial, LLC [Dkt. 985], which motion was granted on July 25, 2022 [Dkt. 996].

#### **3.** Portland Seed Fund (PSF)

Portland Seed Fund is an investment in a local venture capital fund providing early-stage capital to Oregon based start-ups. Proceeds from recent monetizations of investments by the Fund were distributed to PSF members, including the Receivership, in June and August of 2021 and in May and October of 2022. The cumulative distributions from PSF are now greater than the contributions, and additional distributions are expected in the future.

The Receiver and his professionals have continued exploring opportunities to monetize the remaining PSF interest in the context of the PSF anticipated future wind down. At this time, the Receiver intends to hold this asset until further notice.

#### E. Ongoing Litigation

As addressed above, the Receiver anticipates the remaining recovery efforts will be concluded shortly, although this timetable will necessarily depend upon the posture of the adverse parties and the Court's calendar.

## Page 21 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### **Net Winners**

With the benefit of the Court's determination that the consolidated Aequitas enterprise was operated as a Ponzi scheme at least as early as July 1, 2014 [Dkt. 813], the Receiver and his team gathered and analyzed data to identify "net winners" (investors who received funds in excess of their initial investments prior to initiation of the Receivership) and determine the amount of their net winnings. The Receiver then provided individual net winners a summary of their account activity as well as a pre-litigation offer of settlement. The majority of the net winners accepted the Receiver's offer and made the corresponding repayment to the Receivership Estate. Those net winner settlement agreements were addressed in the Receiver's First, Second, and Third Motions to Approve Settlements.

In accordance with the prior orders of the Court, on June 28, 2021, the Receiver filed suit against all net winners who did not agree to return their net winnings to the Receivership Estate ("Net Winner Defendants"), seeking to recover approximately \$2,000,000 plus interest. Since filing suit, the Receiver has resolved the Receivership Entity's claims against 43 of the Net Winner Defendants, including three that were approved by the Court on December 28, 2022 [Dkt. 1017].

Net Winner Settlement Summary (as of 06/30/23)# of PartiesAmountCash Received from Net Winners105\$3,694,820Additional Installment Payments Outstanding\$101,018Distribution Offsets9\$490,490Total114\$4,286,327

The table below summarizes the status of the net winner recoveries as of June 30, 2023.

After previously issuing a final settlement offer to the remaining Net Winner

Defendants and not achieving a resolution, during this Application Period, on June 20, 2023, the

# Page 22 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

Receiver filed a combined motion for entry of default and summary judgment against the two parties who have not settled the Receiver's claims. The Court entered its Findings and Recommendations on September 27, 2023, granting the Receiver's motions.

# F. <u>The Claims Process</u>

The Receiver undertook an extensive claim noticing process that included notices by publication in 15 major newspaper, issuance and dissemination of a press release, and mail and email noticing of over 6,982 parties, including at least 4,830 parties who received a Notice of Receiver's Initial Determination.

Investor with NOD	2,060
Other Pre-Receivership Creditor / Vendor with	2,040
NOD	
Employee with NOD	84
Administrative Claimant with NOD	646
Non-NOD notices (not counted above)	2,152
Total Notices	6,982

In total, the following number of Notices were sent out:

# 1. Additional Information Sharing

Consistent with the requirement of the Claims Procedures Order, the Receiver set up a dedicated data sharing site that allowed him to provide copies of notices to the legal counsel representing various potential claimants. In some instances, copies of the notices were email to legal counsel directly.

# Page 23 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

While not required by the Claims Procedures Order, in the spirit of cooperation, the Receiver elected to also share copies of the notices received by investors with their Registered Investment Advisors ("RIA") upon receipt of a confirmation of investor's consent to the Receiver's sharing of such information.

## 2. Responding to Claims Process Inquiries

Following the mailing of the claims process notices, the Receiver and his staff received numerous follow up inquiries from various parties, including potential claimants and their representatives. Through June 30, 2023, over 2,606 inquiries in connection with the claims process, distributions, and related tax reporting were addressed directly by the Receivership staff and professionals. The Receivership team is continuing to field and respond to inquiries as they are received.

#### 3. Claims Processing and Analysis

The Receiver's professionals and staff have performed the review of the filed claims and have submitted three motions to the Court to approve classification and allow distributions on certain claims. Through the date of the June 2023 Report, the Court has fully or partially adjudicated 4,801 claims. The remaining few claims were presented to the Court for resolution on August 31, 2023 and were subsequently resolved.

Through June 30, 2023:

• 4,857 Notices of Receiver's Initial Determination ("NOD") were mailed or emailed out by the Receivership (including 4,830 original NODs and 27 replacement NODs).

• 340 individual claim submissions were received by Epiq (the claims agent) including:

# Page 24 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

• 33 duplicates

- 3 claims representing amendments of previously filed claims
- 43 late-filed claims

• 3 claims that were submitted prior to the Bar Date Order and the establishment of the claims process.

Of the 304 non-duplicative individual claims submissions received above, at least 15 non-duplicative claims did not list any appropriate Aequitas Receivership entities. Additionally, 68 of the non-duplicative claims were filed against multiple Aequitas Receivership entities. As detailed in the Report of Cash Receipts and Disbursements, attached as Exhibit B to the June 2023 Report, for the purpose of consolidated reporting, these claims are counted only once. On the individual entity reports, each entity determined on a preliminary basis as being named in the claim form has the claim included in its count.

Based on the review by the Receivership staff, the following is a summary of noninvestor claims filed (or allowed NODs if no claim filed). Nothing in the summaries should be considered an acceptance or allowance of any claim.

Non-Investor Claims	Count
NODs Mailed	2,770
Unique claims filed	91
Less: Claims filed and NOD sent	(20)
Total Non-Investor Claims	2,841

# Page 25 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

Non-Investor Claim Type	Count	Amount a	as Filed or Allowed
Non-Officer Former Employees >\$12,850	41		1,438,316
Non-Officer Former Employees <=\$12,850	46		384,668
CarePayment Refund Checks	2,430		183,156
Campus Student Funding Refund Checks	189		61,614
Taxes	45		539,422
Other Claims >\$20,000	15		88,704,271
Other Claims <=\$20,000	75		248,897
Total	2,841	\$	91,560,344

# Top Ten Non-Investor Claims

Claimant Name	Amount
Deloitte & Touche LLP <sup>3</sup>	\$ 50,000,000
ASFG Inc & TRD Consulting LLC	27,381,257
Norman Gary Price/Strategic Capital Group	5,638,129
CBL Insurance Ltd	1,994,000
DELL Financial Services LLC	1,124,865
Olaf Janke	897,360
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	646,127
Brian K Rice	438,837
Salesforce.com Inc.	346,478
JCPR Inc D/B/A J Connelly	65,998
Total Top Ten Trade Claims	\$ 88,533,050

# G. <u>The Receiver and the professional service firms have consistently</u> <u>strived to minimize costs.</u>

As addressed above, in accordance with the request of the Commission, the Receiver and

all of the professional firms have discounted their standard hourly rates. The Receiver is

consistently mindful of the professional expenses and manages the work in the most cost-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On January 24, 2020, the Receiver executed a settlement agreement with Deloitte regarding their contribution claim.

Page 26 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

effective manner possible. He strives to avoid duplication of effort by the engaged professionals.

#### 1. Day-to-Day Management

With the termination of Aequitas management, the Receiver has needed to supervise the day-to-day operations of the various Receivership Entities. In addition to the daily management duties, the Receiver has focused on several key areas of his mandate, including marshaling, preserving and monetizing all assets for the benefit of the investors, as well as managing the claims process and distributions on Allowed Claims.

The Receiver and his professional team have been working on various aspects of the gradual operational wind down. The office utilized by the Receivership staff was relocated at the end of the first quarter of 2021, with the footprint and rent significantly reduced, resulting in annualized rent savings of approximately \$202,000, and the Receiver allowed to terminate the new lease upon notice to the landlord. As part of the relocation, the Receivership has disposed of the remaining surplus office furniture and various equipment. Early in 2021 and in connection with the office relocation, the Receiver made significant changes to the IT infrastructure, including transitioning to an off-site data center and scaling down certain service agreements, achieving significant cost savings. Additionally, the Receiver strengthened the infrastructure security by implementing a two-factor access authentication process. Following completion of the next phase of infrastructure reduction, transitioning to a 100% cloud-based solution and vacating the data center as discussed earlier in this Report, the Receivership staff and retained professionals have continued to work during this Application Period on further optimizing the IT footprint, preparing it for a wind down, and maintaining and strengthening the security of the Receivership IT environment. The cloud transition is providing additional annual cost savings from reduction in infrastructure management labor costs and more flexibility going forward,

#### Page 27 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

while allowing the Receivership to continue its necessary operations during the wind-down period.

As part of the operational wind down during this Application Period, the Receiver, Receivership staff and professionals finalized the wind down of certain Receivership Entities. On June 30, 2023, the Receiver filed with the State of Delaware certificates of cancellation for 21 Receivership Entities.<sup>4</sup>

## 2. Bank Accounts

As discussed in the Initial Report, the Receiver has instituted an integrated on-line platform that facilitates banking, processing of future distributions, and cash reporting for receivership cases. As assets are being monetized, the Receiver has been closing bank accounts that are no longer necessary. Following the approval of the QSF by the Court at the end of 2019, the Receiver has established separate QSF bank accounts and has consolidated the majority of the funds controlled by the Receiver in such account(s). In 2020 and 2021, the Receiver closed 39 of the legacy Receivership bank accounts that were no longer needed for the ongoing operations of the Receivership and the QSF. This helped streamline the Receivership cash management operations and facilitate the wind down. As of June 30, 2023, the Receiver maintained 13 bank accounts, consisting of 11 that belong to the Receivership Entity (including

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Certificates of cancellation of limited liability company were filed for: AAM Fund Investments, LLC; ACC Holdings 5, LLC; Aequitas Asset Management Oregon, LLC; Aequitas EIF Debt Fund, LLC; Aequitas Enhanced Income Fund, LLC; Aequitas Hybrid Fund, LLC; Aequitas Income Opportunity Fund II, LLC; Aequitas Income Protection Fund, LLC; Aequitas Peer-to-Peer Funding, LLC; Aequitas Private Client Fund, LLC; Aequitas Senior Housing Operations, LLC; Aequitas Senior Housing, LLC; Aequitas Wealth Management Partner Fund, LLC; Aequitas Wealth Management, LLC; Aequitas WRFF I, LLC; Carepayment Holdings, LLC; CCM Capital Opportunities GP, LLC; CP Funding I Holdings, LLC; Hickory Growth Partners, LLC; ML Financial Holdings, LLC; Unigo Student Funding, LLC.

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 29 of 41

five attributed to the QSF), and two accounts (in the process of closing) for an entity that has been removed from the Receivership. The Receiver continues to close bank accounts that will no longer be needed for Receivership operations.

During this Application Period, the Receivership staff prepared for the transition of a significant portion of Receivership's banking to a new platform, following a merger between Union Bank (one of Receivership's main banking institutions) and U.S. Bank. Additionally, following the volatility in the U.S. banking sector in the first quarter of 2023, the Receivership has evaluated and adjusted its banking exposure to further reduce risk to the Receivership's cash deposits.

The Receiver intends to continue leveraging the existing banking relationships and systems for the implementation of the pending distribution.

Cash basis reports, including information for this Application Period and case to date, are attached as Exhibit B to the June 2023 Report.

#### 3. Staffing

#### a. <u>Employee Headcount</u>

The Receiver continues to maintain the minimum staff necessary for the Receivership and enterprise to operate efficiently and effectively. As of June 30, 2023, the Receivership Entity had three full-time employees and two part-time employees. The Receiver's employee retention program provides for at least six-weeks' notice to employees whose services are anticipated to no longer be required by the Receivership.

#### b. <u>Contractors</u>

In response to earlier staff attrition in addition to the planned reductions, the Receiver necessarily backfilled key accounting and technology positions with local independent

#### Page 29 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

contractors (not affiliated with FTI). As of June 30, 2023, the Receivership employed three oncall IT contractors, one portfolio management contractor, and one administrative / investor relations contractor.

#### 4. Tax Matters

In the ordinary course of business, the Receivership has many reporting and tax preparation responsibilities to investors and taxing authorities.

## a. <u>Tax Preparer</u>

Since the inception of the Receivership, the Receiver retained a tax specialist to assist legacy Aequitas staff in the preparation of tax and information returns, and to provide taxconsulting services on an as-needed basis at the request of the Receiver. During the Application Period, Receivership staff and professionals focused on preparing year-end reporting, beginning 2022 tax year preparation, and continuing to plan for potential tax obligations on closure of the Receivership.

## b. **Qualified Settlement Fund and Receivership Entities 2022 Taxation**

As further described in section XI of the June 2023 Report, on motion and by order of the Court, substantial assets of the Receivership Entities were transferred to the Aequitas Qualified Settlement Fund Irrevocable Trust ("QSF") along with the obligation to make distributions under the Court-approved Distribution Plan.

The QSF is subject to tax on its modified gross income. To the extent that assets transferred to the QSF produce includable income, less certain expenses, the QSF will be required to pay tax at the maximum tax rate in effect for that tax year under section 1(e) of the Internal Revenue Code. The QSF is required to pay tax by submitting quarterly estimates. During each quarter, including this Application Period, Receivership staff review the estimated income

# Page 30 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 31 of 41

and expenses for the year and determine if a payment is required. Any remaining tax due is paid by the return due date.

State tax filing requirements for the QSF are determined by the source of the activity of the assets transferred to the QSF.

As described in the prior Reports, final federal and state tax returns have been filed for many of the Receivership Entities. 2022 federal and state returns for the remaining Receivership Entities were in process during the Application Period. It is expected that the QSF will be the final tax filing entity.

#### c. <u>Non-Investor Fund Form K-1s</u>

Members of Aequitas Holdings LLC, Aequitas Partner Fund LLC, and Aequitas Management LLC will be provided 2022 form K-1s as returns are completed.

#### d. <u>Distribution Information Reporting and Taxation</u>

If any future interim distributions are made, the Receiver will provide information reporting as required by law.

## 5. Leveraging the professional firms' resources

FTI continues to leverage its access to various resources and services to provide information at no additional charge to the Receivership. As a result, the Receivership Entity has been able to eliminate contracts with a number of corresponding providers. Additionally, FTI provides as-needed tele-conferencing and web-conferencing capabilities to the Receivership Entity at no charge.

Schwabe has in the past provided meeting space and infrastructure at no charge to the Receivership Entity, which allowed the Receiver to minimize costs associated with in-person

## Page 31 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

meetings.

## 6. Discounted Travel Time

The Receiver, FTI and the majority of legal counsel only charge 50 percent of actual nonworking travel time. Schwabe does not charge for travel time or travel expenses related to travel to Portland, Oregon.

#### 7. Out-of-Town Meals and Expenses

The Receiver carefully monitors the expenses incurred by his professionals. In furtherance of this effort, the Receiver has imposed a per diem limit on meal expenses and no costs incurred in excess thereof are charged to the Receivership. No out-of-town meals and expenses were incurred during this Application Period.

# III. <u>AUTHORITY SUPPORTING REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FEES AND</u> <u>EXPENSES INCURRED FROM APRIL 1, 2023 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2023</u>

The Order Appointing Receiver provides that "[t]he Receiver and Retained Personnel are entitled to reasonable compensation and expense Reimbursement from the Receivership Estates...." [Dkt. 156, ¶ 45]. Applications for compensation and expense reimbursement are on an interim basis, subject to final review at the close of the Receivership [Dkt. 156, ¶ 47]. Allowed fees are subject to a twenty percent (20%) holdback pending final review and closing of the Receivership. Allowed expenses are not subject to a holdback.

The Receiver requests that the Court approve the fees and expenses incurred by the Receiver and his retained professionals for the period from April 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023.

# A. <u>This is the Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application and the Court has</u> previously approved the prior Twenty-Eight Interim Fee Applications

This is the Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application of the Receiver and his retained

# Page 32 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

professionals. The initial Interim Fee Application was filed on September 22, 2016 [Dkt. 251]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Interim Fee Application on October 21, 2016 [Dkt. 273]. The Second Interim Fee Application was filed on December 12, 2016 [Dkt. 315]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Second Interim Fee Application on February 22, 2017 [Dkt. 370]. The Third Interim Fee Application was filed on April 4, 2017 [Dkt. 393]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Third Interim Fee Application on April 20, 2017 [Dkt. 416]. The Fourth Interim Fee Application was filed on July 14, 2017 [Dkt. 475]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Fourth Interim Fee Application on July 17, 2017 [Dkt. 478]. The Fifth Interim Fee Application was filed on October 11, 2017 [Dkt. 544]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Fifth Interim Fee Application on October 12, 2017 [Dkt. 548]. The Sixth Interim Fee Application was filed on December 12, 2017 [Dkt. 567]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Sixth Interim Fee Application on December 12, 2017 [Dkt. 570]. The Seventh Interim Fee Application was filed on March 16, 2018 [Dkt. 596]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Seventh Interim Fee Application on March 20, 2018 [Dkt. 599]. The Eighth Interim Fee Application was filed on June 26, 2018 [Dkt. 630]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Eighth Interim Fee Application on June 26, 2018 [Dkt. 633]. The Ninth Interim Fee Application was filed on September 17, 2018 [Dkt. 654]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Ninth Interim Fee Application on September 18, 2018 [Dkt. 657]. The Tenth Interim Fee Application was filed on December 7, 2018 [Dkt. 665]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Tenth Interim Fee Application on

#### Page 33 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

December 10, 2018 [Dkt. 668]. The Eleventh Interim Fee Application was filed on March 21, 2019 [Dkt. 677]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Eleventh Interim Fee Application on March 21, 2019 [Dkt. 680]. The Twelfth Interim Fee Application was filed on June 27, 2019 [Dkt. 716]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twelfth Interim Fee Application on July 1, 2019 [Dkt. 719]. The Thirteenth Interim Fee Application was filed on June 27, 2019 [Dkt. 771]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Thirteenth Interim Fee Application on July 1, 2019 [Dkt. 774]. The Fourteenth Interim Fee Application was filed on December 27, 2019 [Dkt. 782]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Fourteenth Interim Fee Application on January 1, 2020 [Dkt. 788]. The Fifteenth Interim Fee Application was filed on March 31, 2020 [Dkt. 814]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Fifteenth Interim Fee Application on April 1, 2020 [Dkt. 817]. The Sixteenth Interim Fee Application was filed on June 19, 2020 [Dkt. 839]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Sixteenth Interim Fee Application on June 19, 2020 [Dkt. 842]. The Seventeenth Interim Fee Application was filed on September 11, 2020 [Dkt. 844]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Seventeenth Interim Fee Application on September 11, 2020 [Dkt. 847]. The Eighteenth Interim Fee Application was filed on December 17, 2020 [Dkt. 868]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Eighteenth Interim Fee Application on January 4, 2021 [Dkt. 871]. The Nineteenth Interim Fee Application was filed on March 30, 2021 [Dkt. 878]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Nineteenth Interim Fee Application on April 21, 2021 [Dkt. 884]. The Twentieth Interim Fee Application was filed on July 12, 2021 [Dkt. 892]. The Court approved

#### Page 34 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

the fees and expenses requested in the Twentieth Interim Fee Application on July 27, 2021 [Dkt. 899]. The Twenty-First Interim Fee Application was filed on September 22, 2021 [Dkt. 908]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-First Interim Fee Application on October 7, 2021 [Dkt. 911]. The Twenty-Second Interim Fee Application was filed on December 20, 2021 [Dkt. 917]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Second Interim Fee Application on January 7, 2022 [Dkt. 921]. The Twenty-Third Interim Fee Application was filed on March 23, 2022 [Dkt. 958]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Third Interim Fee Application on April 4, 2022 [Dkt. 975]. The Twenty-Fourth Interim Fee Application was filed on July 7, 2022 [Dkt. 992]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Fourth Interim Fee Interim Fee Application on July 25, 2022 [Dkt. 995]. The Twenty-Fifth Interim Fee Application was filed on September 29, 2022 [Dkt. 1001]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Fifth Interim Fee Application on October 17, 2022 [Dkt. 1005]. The Twenty-Sixth Interim Fee Application was filed on November 30, 2022 [Dkt. 1007]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Sixth Interim Fee Application on December 15, 2022 [Dkt. 1013]. The Twenty-Seventh Interim Fee Application was filed on March 7, 2023 [Dkt. 1020]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Seventh Interim Fee Application on March 22, 2023 [Dkt. 1023]. The Twenty-Eight Interim Fee Application was filed on June 23, 2023 [Dkt. 1025]. The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Eighth Interim Fee Application on July 11, 2023 [Dkt. 1028]. The following is a summary of the fees and expenses requested and approved from the prior Interim Fee Applications, the amounts allowed, the amounts paid, and identification of the twenty percent

#### Page 35 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

# (20%) holdback for fees (which remain unpaid as required by the Billing Instructions):

#### Aequitas Receivership

Professional Fees & Expenses by Entity (through March 31, 2023)

	Previous	Previous	Total Previous	Holdback	
Entity	Fees	Expenses	Fees & Expenses	Amounts	<b>Total Paid Amounts</b>
Receiver	2,501,434	45,172	2,546,606	500,287	2,046,319
FTI Consulting	19,454,808	494,849	19,949,658	3,890,962	16,058,696
Pepper Hamilton	2,472,630	282,614	2,755,244	494,526	2,260,718
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt	11,432,104	101,858	11,533,962	2,286,421	9,247,541
Morrison Foerster	1,015,841	5,843	1,021,684	203,168	818,516
Law Office of Stanley H. Shure	1,514,882	9,588	1,524,470	302,976	1,221,493
Snell & Wilmer	540,808	10,645	551,453	108,162	443,291
Parsons Farnell & Grein	542,808	18,983	561,792	108,562	453,230
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones	39,984	471	40,455	7,997	32,458
Akin Gump	60,259	133	60,392	12,052	48,340
Ater Wynne	10,356	-	10,356	2,071	8,285
Total:	39,585,913	970,157	40,556,071	7,917,183	32,638,888

# B. <u>The Court should approve as reasonable and necessary all of the fees</u> and expenses requested in this Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application.

In the Ninth Circuit, in a common fund case such as this Receivership proceeding, the Court has the "discretion to apply either the lodestar method or the percentage-of-the-fund method when calculating fees."<sup>5</sup> "Under either method, the Court must exercise its discretion to achieve a 'reasonable' result."<sup>6</sup>

Because there is a strong presumption that the lodestar amount represents a reasonable

fee, adjustments to the lodestar are the "exception rather than the rule."<sup>7</sup> Under the lodestar

method, the Court multiplies a reasonable number of hours by a reasonable hourly rate.<sup>8</sup> In the

<sup>8</sup> *Id*.

# Page 36 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Fischel v. Equitable Life Assurance Soc'y, 307 F.3d 997, 1006 (9th Cir 2002) (class action case creating a common fund).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *In re Galena Biopharma, Inc., Sec. Litig.*, No. 3:14-cv-00367-SI, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 82693, at \*15-16 (D. Or. June 24, 2016) (citing *In re Bluetooth Headset Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 654 F.3d 935, 942 (9th Cir. 2011)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Stanger v. China Elec. Motor, Inc.* 812 F.3d 734, 738 (9th Cir 2016) (internal citations omitted) (class action with common fund settlement).

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 37 of 41

current Receivership, where neither the amount of the common fund nor the aggregate amount of allowed claims has been determined, the lodestar method rather than the percentage-of-fund method should be applied to this interim fee application.

The amount of compensation to be awarded a court-appointed receiver is within the Court's reasonable discretion.<sup>9</sup> The Commission's approval of an application should be given great weight.<sup>10</sup>

Factors for consideration include the "time, labor and skill required, but not necessarily that actually expended, in the proper performance of the duties imposed by the court upon the receiver, the fair value of such time, labor and skill measured by conservative business standards, the degree of activity, integrity and dispatch with which the work is conducted and the result obtained."<sup>11</sup> The complexity and difficulty associated with the receivership are highly relevant actors in determining the reasonableness of professional fees.<sup>12</sup> The benefits bestowed on the estate are a relevant consideration.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>13</sup> See Fifth Ave. Coach Lines., 364 F. Supp. at 1222; *Mobley*, 2000 WL 1702024, at \*2 (receiver marshaled assets quickly and efficiently).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> SEC v. Byers, 590 F. Supp. 2d 637, 644 (S.D.N.Y. 2008), aff'd, 609 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id.* (quoting *SEC v. Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, Inc.*, 364 F. Supp. 1220, 1222 (S.D.N.Y. 1973) (securities receivership).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> United States v. Code Prod. Corp., 362 F.2d 669, 673 (3d Cir. 1966) (internal citation omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, 364 F. Supp. at 1220 (awarding interim fees and expenses to law firm for role in receivership and noting that it involved wide variety of complex legal matters requiring the time, competence, and diverse resources of a law firm of high caliber); *SEC v. Mobley*, No. 00 CV 1316 RCC, 2000 WL 1702024, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 13, 2000) (finding that fees requested in early stages of receivership were not excessive where receiver was faced with deconstructing an "enormous" fraud of seven years in which defendant utilized over forty entities to funnel investors' money throughout the world and there were few, if any, verifiable financial records).

The degree of success achieved in solving legal and practical problems should be considered when calculating the fees awarded.<sup>14</sup> Courts examine the credentials, experience, reputation, and other professional qualities required to carry out the court's orders when assessing the reasonableness of the rates charged for services to a receivership.<sup>15</sup>

The Court should consider the usual and customary fees charged and the evidence presented to support the application for fees.<sup>16</sup> All of the factors considered in these cases weigh heavily in favor of approving the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application.

# C. <u>The fees and expenses during this Twenty-Ninth Application Period</u> <u>are reasonable and necessary in light of the complexity and difficulties</u> <u>of this case.</u>

The Receiver requests approval of fees and expenses for the firms identified herein,

which have provided the services summarized throughout this Motion, in the June 2023 Report and in each firm's summary invoice.<sup>17</sup> As noted, these firms agreed to substantial discounts of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See SEC v. W.L. Moody & Co., Bankers, 374 F. Supp. 465, 484-85 (S.D. Tex. 1974), aff'd, 519
F.2d 1087 (5th Cir 1975) (attorney's timely action prevented delay in payment to bank depositors); Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, 364 F. Supp. at 1222 (law firm's services resulted in successful reorganization of company); SEC v. Tanner, No. 05–4057–RDR, 2007 WL 2013606, at \*2 (D. Kan. May 22, 2007) (actions of receiver resulted in return of more money to investors than if defendant had continued in business).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See W.L. Moody & Co., 374 F. Supp. at 481 (holding that a court should give "considerable weight" to "a receiver's abilities, as required by the tasks of the receivership"); *SEC v. Aquacell Batteries Inc.*, No. 6:07–cv–608–Orl–22 DAB, 2008 WL 276026, at \*4 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 31, 2008) ("The Receiver retained well qualified, experienced counsel and such representation does not come cheap.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, Inc., 364 F. Supp. at 1222 (fees awarded in full based on law firm's usual hourly rate and supported by meticulous records).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Greenspan Declaration, Exhibits A through C.

their customary fees, and the amounts requested reflect those discounts. The time spent, services performed, hourly rates charged, and expenses incurred were in the best interests of the Receivership Entity and were indeed essential for the Receiver to perform his Court-ordered duties.<sup>18</sup> Each of these professional firms was selected because it possesses special expertise required to fulfill the Court's orders. The following chart is a summary of the fees and expenses reasonably incurred in the service of the Receivership Entity from April 1, 2023, through June 30, 2023:

#### Aequitas Receivership

Professional Fees & Expenses by Entity (from April 1 to June 30, 2023)

Entity	Fees (\$)	Percentage	Expenses (\$)	Percentage	Total (\$)	Percentage
Receiver	13,282.50	6.2%	-	0.0%	13,282.50	5.7%
FTI Consulting	83,092.00	38.6%	-	0.0%	83,092.00	35.8%
Pepper Hamilton <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt	119,054.50	55.3%	16,507.84	100.0%	135,562.34	58.4%
Morrison Foerster <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Law Office of Stanley H. Shure <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Snell & Wilmer <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Parsons Farnell & Grein <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Akin Gump <sup>[1]</sup>	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Ater Wynne [1]	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total:	215,429.00	100%	16,507.84	100%	231,936.84	100%

[1] Pepper Hamilton, Morrison Foerster, Law Office of Stanley H. Shure, Snell & Wilmer, Parsons Farnell & Grein, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, Akin Gump, and Ater Wynne did not incur fees or expenses during the billing period.

## D. <u>The fees and expenses requested are in line with those approved in</u> other cases.

The fees associated with complex receivership cases are often substantial percentages of

the total assets recovered.<sup>19</sup> The size and scope of this equitable receivership are much greater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Greenspan Declaration, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> SEC v. Megafund Corp., No. 3:05–CV–1328–L, 2008 WL 2839998, at \*2 (N.D. Tex. June 24, 2008) (approving final request for fees and expenses comprising 22.4% of total recovery by Receiver in light of complexities of case, difficulties in tracing proceeds, and results obtained); *SEC v. Funding Res. Group*, No. Civ.A.3:98–CV–2689–M, 2003 WL 145411, at \*1 (N.D. Tex.

#### Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 1039 Filed 10/10/23 Page 40 of 41

than the size and scope of many of the receiverships in the reported cases cited in this Application. Courts have noted that compensation to equitable receivers is analogous to compensation to trustees in bankruptcy.<sup>20</sup> The United States Department of Justice reported that from 1994 to 2000, in Chapter 7 asset cases, 30% to 40% of total estate receipts were disbursed as fees and expenses to trustees and other professionals.<sup>21</sup> This was true regardless of the size of the case.<sup>22</sup> The fees incurred in this case are a significantly smaller percentage of the total recoveries through the end of the Twenty-Ninth Application Period than any of these cases and the Receivership Entity has benefitted by avoiding additional fees that would have been incurred if investment bankers were retained.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The relief requested herein is appropriate and in furtherance of the provisions of the Final Receivership Order appointing the Receiver. Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an order approving the fees and expenses requested in the Twenty-Ninth Interim Fee Application, for the period from April 1, 2023, through June 30, 2023.

#### Page 40 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

Jan. 15, 2003) (costs of administration totaled 21% of cash and assets finally recovered by receiver).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> W.L. Moody & Co., 374 F. Supp. at 481.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> U.S. DOJ, U.S. Trustee Program Prelim. Rep. on Ch. 7 Asset Cases 1994 to 2000, attached as Exhibit P, App'x 187, 192.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Id*.

Dated this 10th day of October, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Troy Greenfield</u>

Troy Greenfield, OSB #892534 tgreenfield@schwabe.com Lawrence R. Ream (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) lream@schwabe.com Telephone: 503-222-9981 Facsimile: 503-796-2900

Attorneys for Receiver for Defendants\_Aequitas Management, LLC, Aequitas Holdings, LLC, Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC, Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., and Aequitas Investment Management, LLC

Page 41 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TWENTY-NINTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900